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## Preface

Every book has a story as to how it came about and this one is no different, although we would be lying if we said its development had not been somewhat impromptu. Put simply this book is the result of a series of emails sent back and forth between the two authors during the development of a library for the .NET framework of the same name (with the omission of the subtitle of course!). The conversation started off something like, "Why don't we create a more aesthetically pleasing way to present our pseudocode?" After a few weeks this new presentation style had in fact grown into pseudocode listings with chunks of text describing how the data structure or algorithm in question works and various other things about it. At this point we thought, "What the heck, let's make this thing into a book!" And so, in the summer of 2008 we began work on this book side by side with the actual library implementation.

When we started writing this book the only things that we were sure about with respect to how the book should be structured were:

1. always make explanations as simple as possible while maintaining a moderately fine degree of precision to keep the more eager minded reader happy; and
2. inject diagrams to demystify problems that are even moderatly challenging to visualise (... and so we could remember how our own algorithms worked when looking back at them!); and finally
3. present concise and self-explanatory pseudocode listings that can be ported easily to most mainstream imperative programming languages like $\mathrm{C}++$, C\#, and Java.

A key factor of this book and its associated implementations is that all algorithms (unless otherwise stated) were designed by us, using the theory of the algorithm in question as a guideline (for which we are eternally grateful to their original creators). Therefore they may sometimes turn out to be worse than the "normal" implementations-and sometimes not. We are two fellows of the opinion that choice is a great thing. Read our book, read several others on the same subject and use what you see fit from each (if anything) when implementing your own version of the algorithms in question.

Through this book we hope that you will see the absolute necessity of understanding which data structure or algorithm to use for a certain scenario. In all projects, especially those that are concerned with performance (here we apply an even greater emphasis on real-time systems) the selection of the wrong data structure or algorithm can be the cause of a great deal of performance pain.

Therefore it is absolutely key that you think about the run time complexity and space requirements of your selected approach. In this book we only explain the theoretical implications to consider, but this is for a good reason: compilers are very different in how they work. One C++ compiler may have some amazing optimisation phases specifically targeted at recursion, another may not, for example. Of course this is just an example but you would be surprised by how many subtle differences there are between compilers. These differences which may make a fast algorithm slow, and vice versa. We could also factor in the same concerns about languages that target virtual machines, leaving all the actual various implementation issues to you given that you will know your language's compiler much better than us...well in most cases. This has resulted in a more concise book that focuses on what we think are the key issues.

One final note: never take the words of others as gospel; verify all that can be feasibly verified and make up your own mind.

We hope you enjoy reading this book as much as we have enjoyed writing it.

Granville Barnett<br>Luca Del Tongo

## Acknowledgements

As we proceed nearer completion we will thank those who have helped us.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 What this book is, and what it isn't

This book provides implementations of common and uncommon algorithms in pseudocode which is language independent and provides for easy porting to most imperative programming languages. It is not a definitive book on the theory of data structures and algorithms.

For the most part this book presents implementations devised by the authors themselves based on the concepts by which the respective algorithms are based upon so it is more than possible that our implementations differ from those considered the norm.

You should use this book alongside another on the same subject, but one that contains formal proofs of the algorithms in question. In this book we use the abstract big Oh notation to depict the run time complexity of algorithms so that the book appeals to a larger audience.

### 1.2 Assumed knowledge

We have written this book with few assumptions of the reader, but some have been necessary in order to keep the book as concise and approachable as possible. We assume that the reader is familiar with the following:

1. Big Oh notation
2. An imperative programming language
3. Object oriented concepts

### 1.2.1 Big Oh notation

For run time complexity analysis we use big Oh notation extensively so it is vital that you are familiar with the general concepts to determine which is the best algorithm for you in certain scenarios. We have chosen to use big Oh notation for a few reasons, the most important of which is that it provides an abstract measurement by which we can judge the performance of algorithms without using mathematical proofs.


Figure 1.1: Algorithmic run time expansion

Figure 1.1 shows some of the run times to demonstrate how important it is to choose an efficient algorithm. For the sanity of our graph we have omitted cubic $O\left(n^{3}\right)$, and exponential $O\left(2^{n}\right)$ run times. Cubic and exponential algorithms should only ever be used for very small problems (if ever!); avoid them if feasibly possible.

The following list explains some of the most common big Oh notations:
$O(1)$ constant: the operation doesn't depend on the size of its input, e.g. adding a node to the tail of a linked list where we always maintain a pointer to the tail node.
$O(n)$ linear: the run time complexity is proportionate to the size of $n$.
$O(\log n)$ logarithmic: normally associated with algorithms that break the problem into smaller chunks per each invocation, e.g. searching a binary search tree.
$O(n \log n)$ just $n \log n$ : usually associated with an algorithm that breaks the problem into smaller chunks per each invocation, and then takes the results of these smaller chunks and stitches them back together, e.g. quick sort.
$O\left(n^{2}\right)$ quadratic: e.g. bubble sort.
$O\left(n^{3}\right)$ cubic: very rare.
$O\left(2^{n}\right)$ exponential: incredibly rare.
If you encouner either of the latter two items (cubic and exponential) this is really a signal for you to review the design of your algorithm. While prototyping algorithm designs you may just have the intention of solving the problem irrespective of how fast it works. We would strongly advise that you always review your algorithm design and optimise where possible - particularly loops
and recursive calls-so that you can get the most efficient run times for your algorithms.

The biggest asset that big Oh notation gives us is that it allows us to essentially discard things like hardware. If you have two sorting algorithms, one with a quadratic run time, and the other with a logarithmic run time then the logarithmic algorithm will always be faster than the quadratic one when the data set becomes suitably large. This applies even if the former is ran on a machine that is far faster than the latter. Why? Because big Oh notation isolates a key factor in algorithm analysis: growth. An algorithm with a quadratic run time grows faster than one with a logarithmic run time. It is generally said at some point as $n \rightarrow \infty$ the logarithmic algorithm will become faster than the quadratic algorithm.

Big Oh notation also acts as a communication tool. Picture the scene: you are having a meeting with some fellow developers within your product group. You are discussing prototype algorithms for node discovery in massive networks. Several minutes elapse after you and two others have discussed your respective algorithms and how they work. Does this give you a good idea of how fast each respective algorithm is? No. The result of such a discussion will tell you more about the high level algorithm design rather than its efficiency. Replay the scene back in your head, but this time as well as talking about algorithm design each respective developer states the asymptotic run time of their algorithm. Using the latter approach you not only get a good general idea about the algorithm design, but also key efficiency data which allows you to make better choices when it comes to selecting an algorithm fit for purpose.

Some readers may actually work in a product group where they are given budgets per feature. Each feature holds with it a budget that represents its uppermost time bound. If you save some time in one feature it doesn't necessarily give you a buffer for the remaining features. Imagine you are working on an application, and you are in the team that is developing the routines that will essentially spin up everything that is required when the application is started. Everything is great until your boss comes in and tells you that the start up time should not exceed $n \mathrm{~ms}$. The efficiency of every algorithm that is invoked during start up in this example is absolutely key to a successful product. Even if you don't have these budgets you should still strive for optimal solutions.

Taking a quantitative approach for many software development properties will make you a far superior programmer - measuring one's work is critical to success.

### 1.2.2 Imperative programming language

All examples are given in a pseudo-imperative coding format and so the reader must know the basics of some imperative mainstream programming language to port the examples effectively, we have written this book with the following target languages in mind:

1. $\mathrm{C}++$
2. C\#
3. Java

The reason that we are explicit in this requirement is simple - all our implementations are based on an imperative thinking style. If you are a functional programmer you will need to apply various aspects from the functional paradigm to produce efficient solutions with respect to your functional language whether it be Haskell, F \#, OCaml, etc.

Two of the languages that we have listed (C\# and Java) target virtual machines which provide various things like security sand boxing, and memory management via garbage collection algorithms. It is trivial to port our implementations to these languages. When porting to $\mathrm{C}++$ you must remember to use pointers for certain things. For example, when we describe a linked list node as having a reference to the next node, this description is in the context of a managed environment. In $\mathrm{C}++$ you should interpret the reference as a pointer to the next node and so on. For programmers who have a fair amount of experience with their respective language these subtleties will present no issue, which is why we really do emphasise that the reader must be comfortable with at least one imperative language in order to successfully port the pseudoimplementations in this book.

It is essential that the user is familiar with primitive imperative language constructs before reading this book otherwise you will just get lost. Some algorithms presented in this book can be confusing to follow even for experienced programmers!

### 1.2.3 Object oriented concepts

For the most part this book does not use features that are specific to any one language. In particular, we never provide data structures or algorithms that work on generic types - this is in order to make the samples as easy to follow as possible. However, to appreciate the designs of our data structures you will need to be familiar with the following object oriented (OO) concepts:

1. Inheritance
2. Encapsulation

## 3. Polymorphism

This is especially important if you are planning on looking at the $\mathrm{C} \#$ target that we have implemented (more on that in §1.6) which makes extensive use of the OO concepts listed above. As a final note it is also desirable that the reader is familiar with interfaces as the C\# target uses interfaces throughout the sorting algorithms.

### 1.3 Pseudocode

Throughout this book we use pseudocode to describe our solutions. For the most part interpreting the pseudocode is trivial as it looks very much like a more abstract $\mathrm{C}++$, or $\mathrm{C} \#$, but there are a few things to point out:

1. Pre-conditions should always be enforced
2. Post-conditions represent the result of applying algorithm $a$ to data structure $d$
3. The type of parameters is inferred
4. All primitive language constructs are explicitly begun and ended

If an algorithm has a return type it will often be presented in the postcondition, but where the return type is sufficiently obvious it may be omitted for the sake of brevity.

Most algorithms in this book require parameters, and because we assign no explicit type to those parameters the type is inferred from the contexts in which it is used, and the operations performed upon it. Additionally, the name of the parameter usually acts as the biggest clue to its type. For instance $n$ is a pseudo-name for a number and so you can assume unless otherwise stated that $n$ translates to an integer that has the same number of bits as a WORD on a 32 bit machine, similarly $l$ is a pseudo-name for a list where a list is a resizeable array (e.g. a vector).

The last major point of reference is that we always explicitly end a language construct. For instance if we wish to close the scope of a for loop we will explicitly state end for rather than leaving the interpretation of when scopes are closed to the reader. While implicit scope closure works well in simple code, in complex cases it can lead to ambiguity.

The pseudocode style that we use within this book is rather straightforward. All algorithms start with a simple algorithm signature, e.g.

1) algorithm AlgorithmName $(\arg 1, \arg 2, \ldots, \arg N)$
2) ...
n) end AlgorithmName

Immediately after the algorithm signature we list any Pre or Post conditions.
) algorithm $\operatorname{AlgorithmName}(n)$
2) Pre: $n$ is the value to compute the factorial of
3) $\quad n \geq 0$
4) Post: the factorial of $n$ has been computed
5) // ...
n) end AlgorithmName

The example above describes an algorithm by the name of AlgorithmName, which takes a single numeric parameter $n$. The pre and post conditions follow the algorithm signature; you should always enforce the pre-conditions of an algorithm when porting them to your language of choice.

Normally what is listed as a pre-conidition is critical to the algorithms operation. This may cover things like the actual parameter not being null, or that the collection passed in must contain at least $n$ items. The post-condition mainly describes the effect of the algorithms operation. An example of a post-condition might be "The list has been sorted in ascending order"

Because everything we describe is language independent you will need to make your own mind up on how to best handle pre-conditions. For example, in the C\# target we have implemented, we consider non-conformance to preconditions to be exceptional cases, particularly because we can throw some
information back to the caller and tell them why the algorithm has failed to be invoked.

### 1.4 Tips for working through the examples

As with most books you get out what you put in and so we recommend that in order to get the most out of this book you work through each algorithm with a pen and paper to track things like variable names, recursive calls etc.

The best way to work through algorithms is to set up a table, and in that table give each variable its own column and continuously update these columns. This will help you keep track of and visualise the mutations that are occurring throughout the algorithm. Often while working through algorithms in such a way you can intuitively map relationships between data structures rather than trying to work out a few values on paper and the rest in your head. We suggest you put everything on paper irrespective of how trivial some variables and calculations may be so that you always have a point of reference.

When dealing with recursive algorithm traces we recommend you do the same as the above, but also have a table that records function calls and who they return to. This approach is a far cleaner way than drawing out an elaborate map of function calls with arrows to one another, which gets large quickly and simply makes things more complex to follow. Track everything in a simple and systematic way to make your time studying the implementations far easier.

### 1.5 Book outline

We have split this book into two parts:
Part 1: Provides discussion and pseudo-implementations of common and uncommon data structures; and

Part 2: Provides algorithms of varying purposes from sorting to string operations.
The reader doesn't have to read the book sequentially from beginning to end: chapters can be read independently from one another. We suggest that in part 1 you read each chapter in its entirety, but in part 2 you can get away with just reading the section of a chapter that describes the algorithm you are interested in.

For all readers we recommend that before looking at any algorithm you quickly look at Appendix D which contains a table listing the various symbols used within our algorithms and their meaning. One keyword that we would like to point out here is yield. You can think of yield in the same light as return. The return keyword causes the method to exit and returns control to the caller, whereas yield returns each value to the caller. With yield control only returns to the caller when all values to return to the caller have been exhausted.

### 1.6 Where can I get the code?

This book doesn't provide any code specifically aligned with it, however we do actively maintain an open source project ${ }^{1}$ that houses a C\# implementation of all the pseudocode listed. The project is named Data Structures and Algorithms (DSA) and can be found at http://codeplex.com/dsa.

### 1.7 Final messages

We have just a few final messages to the reader that we hope you digest before you embark on reading this book:

1. Understand how the algorithm works first in an abstract sense; and
2. Always work through the algorithms on paper to understand how they achieve their outcome

If you always follow these key points, you will get the most out of this book.

[^0]Part I
Data Structures

## Chapter 2

## Linked Lists

Linked lists can be thought of from a high level perspective as being a series of nodes, each node has at least a single pointer to the next node, and in the last nodes case a null pointer representing that there are no more nodes in the linked list.

In DSA our implementations of linked lists always maintain head and tail pointers so that insertion at either the head or tail of the list is constant. Random insertion is excluded from this and will be a linear operation, as such the following are characteristics of linked lists in DSA:

1. Insertion is $O(1)$
2. Deletion is $O(n)$
3. Searching is $O(n)$

Out of the three operations the one that stands out is that of insertion, in DSA we chose to always maintain pointers (or more aptly references) to the node(s) at the head and tail of the linked list and so performing a traditional insertion to either the front or back of the linked list is an $O(1)$ operation. An exception to this rule is when performing an insertion before a node that is neither the head nor tail in a singly linked list, that is the node we are inserting before is somewhere in the middle of the linked list in which case random insertion is $O(n)$. It is apparent that in order to add before the designated node we need to traverse the linked list to acquire a pointer to the node before the node we want to insert before which yields an $O(n)$ run time.

These data structure's are trivial, but they have a few key points which at times make them very attractive:

1. the list is dynamically resized, thus it incurs no copy penalty like an array or vector would eventually incur; and
2. insertion is $O(1)$.

### 2.1 Singly Linked List

Singly linked list's are one of the most primitive data structures you will find in this book, each node that makes up a singly linked list consists of a value, and a reference to the next node (if any) in the list.


Figure 2.1: Singly linked list node


Figure 2.2: A singly linked list populated with integers

### 2.1.1 Insertion

In general when people talk about insertion with respect to linked lists of any form they implicitly refer to the adding of a node to the tail of the list, thus when you use an API like that of DSA and you see a general purpose method that adds a node to the list assume that you are adding that node to the tail of the list not the head.

Adding a node to a singly linked list has only two cases:

1. head $=\emptyset$ in which case the node we are adding is now both the head and tail of the list; or
2. we simply need to append our node onto the end of the list updating the tail reference appropriately.
1) algorithm $\operatorname{Add}($ value)
2) Pre: value is the value to add to the list
3) Post: value has been placed at the tail of the list
4) $n \leftarrow \operatorname{node}($ value $)$
5) $\quad$ if head $=\emptyset$
6) $\quad$ bead $\leftarrow n$
7) $\quad$ tail $\leftarrow n$
8) else
9) tail.Next $\leftarrow n$
10) $\quad$ tail $\leftarrow n$
11) end if
12) end Add

As an example of the previous algorithm consider adding the following sequence of integers to the list: $1,45,60$, and 12 , the resulting list is that of Figure 2.2.

### 2.1.2 Searching

Searching a linked list is straight forward, we simply traverse the list checking the value we are looking for with the value of each node in the linked list. The algorithm listed in this section is very similar to that used for traversal in §2.1.4.

```
algorithm Contains(head, value)
    Pre: head is the head node in the list
    value is the value to search for
    Post: the item is either in the linked list, true; otherwise false
    \(n \leftarrow\) head
    while \(n \neq \emptyset\) and \(n\). Value \(\neq\) value
        \(n \leftarrow n\).Next
    end while
    if \(n=\emptyset\)
        return false
    end if
    return true
13) end Contains
```


### 2.1.3 Deletion

Deleting a node from a linked list is straight forward but there are a few cases in which we need to accommodate for:

1. the list is empty; or
2. the node to remove is the only node in the linked list; or
3. we are removing the head node; or
4. we are removing the tail node; or
5. the node to remove is somewhere in between the head and tail; or
6. the item to remove doesn't exist in the linked list

The algorithm whose cases we have described will remove a node from anywhere within a list irrespective of whether the node is the head etc. If at all possible you know that items will only ever be removed from the head or tail of the list then you can create much more concise algorithms, in the case of always removing from the front of the linked list deletion becomes an $O(1)$ operation.

```
algorithm Remove(head, value)
    Pre: head is the head node in the list
        value is the value to remove from the list
    Post: value is removed from the list, true; otherwise false
    if head = \(\emptyset\)
        // case 1
        return false
    end if
    \(n \leftarrow\) head
    if \(n\). Value \(=\) value
        if head \(=\) tail
                // case 2
                head \(\leftarrow \emptyset\)
                tail \(\leftarrow \emptyset\)
        else
            // case 3
                head \(\leftarrow\) head.Next
        end if
        return true
    end if
    while \(n\).Next \(\neq \emptyset\) and \(n\).Next.Value \(\neq\) value
        \(n \leftarrow n\).Next
    end while
    if \(n\).Next \(\neq \emptyset\)
        if \(n\). Next \(=\) tail
            // case 4
                tail \(\leftarrow n\)
        end if
        \(/ /\) this is only case 5 if the conditional on line 25) was \(f f\)
        \(n\).Next \(\leftarrow n\).Next.Next
        return true
    end if
    // case 6
    return false
end Remove
```


### 2.1.4 Traversing the list

Traversing a singly list is the same as that of traversing a doubly linked list (defined in §2.2), you start at the head of the list and continue until you come across a node that is $\emptyset$. The two cases are as follows:

1. node $=\emptyset$, we have exhausted all nodes in the linked list; or
2. we must update the node reference to be node.Next.

The algorithm described is a very simple one that makes use of a simple while loop to check the first case.

1) algorithm Traverse(head)
2) Pre: head is the head node in the list
3) Post: the items in the list have been traversed
4) $n \leftarrow h e a d$
5) while $n \neq 0$
6) yield $n$.Value
7) $\quad n \leftarrow n$.Next
8) end while
9) end Traverse

### 2.1.5 Traversing the list in reverse order

Traversing a singly linked list in a forward manned is simple (i.e. left to right) as demonstrated in $\S 2.1 .4$, however, what if for some reason we wanted to traverse the nodes in the linked list in reverse order? The algorithm to perform such a traversal is very simple, and just like demonstrated in $\S 2.1 .3$ we will need to acquire a reference to the previous node of a node, even though the fundamental characteristics of the nodes that make up a singly linked list prohibit this by design.

The following algorithm being applied to a linked list with the integers 5, 10, 1, and 40 is depicted in Figure 2.3.

1) algorithm ReverseTraversal(head, tail)
2) Pre: head and tail belong to the same list
3) Post: the items in the list have been traversed in reverse order
4) if tail $\neq \emptyset$
5) $\quad$ curr $\leftarrow$ tail
6) while curr $\neq$ head
7) prev $\leftarrow h e a d$
8) while prev. Next $\neq$ curr
9) prev $\leftarrow$ prev.Next
10) end while
11) yield curr. Value
12) $\quad$ curr $\leftarrow \operatorname{prev}$
13) end while
14) yield curr.Value
15) end if
16) end ReverseTraversal

This algorithm is only of real interest when we are using singly linked lists, as you will soon find out doubly linked lists (defined in §2.2) have certain properties that remove the challenge of reverse list traversal as shown in §2.2.3.

### 2.2 Doubly Linked List

Doubly linked lists are very similar to singly linked lists, the only difference is that each node has a reference to both the next and previous nodes in the list.

1
1.1


1.2


2

2.1


3


Figure 2.3: Reverse traveral of a singly linked list


Figure 2.4: Doubly linked list node

It would be wise to point out that the following algorithms for the doubly linked list are exactly the same as those listed previously for the singly linked list:

1. Searching (defined in §2.1.2)
2. Traversal (defined in §2.1.4)

### 2.2.1 Insertion

The only major difference between the algorithm in $\S 2.1 .1$ is that we need to remember to bind the previous pointer of $n$ to the previous tail node if $n$ was not the first node to be inserted into the list.
algorithm $\operatorname{Add}($ value $)$
2) Pre: value is the value to add to the list
3) Post: value has been placed at the tail of the list
4) $n \leftarrow \operatorname{node}($ value)
5) $\quad$ if head $=\emptyset$
6) $\quad$ ead $\leftarrow n$
7) $\quad$ tail $\leftarrow n$
8) else
9) $\quad n$.Previous $\leftarrow$ tail
10) tail.Next $\leftarrow n$
11) $\quad$ tail $\leftarrow n$
12) end if
13) end Add

Figure 2.5 shows the doubly linked list after adding the sequence of integers defined in §2.1.1.


Figure 2.5: Doubly linked list populated with integers

### 2.2.2 Deletion

As you may of guessed the cases that we use for deletion in a doubly linked list are exactly the same as those defined in $\S 2.1 .3$, however, like insertion we have the added task of binding an additional reference (Previous) to the correct value.

```
algorithm Remove(head, value)
    Pre: head is the head node in the list
        value is the value to remove from the list
    Post: value is removed from the list, true; otherwise false
    if head = \(\emptyset\)
        return false
    end if
    if value \(=\) head.Value
        if head = tail
                head \(\leftarrow \emptyset\)
                tail \(\leftarrow \emptyset\)
        else
            head \(\leftarrow h e a d . N e x t\)
                head.Previous \(\leftarrow \emptyset\)
            end if
            return true
    end if
    \(n \leftarrow\) head.Next
    while \(n \neq \emptyset\) and value \(\neq n\).Value
        \(n \leftarrow n\).Next
    end while
    if \(n=\) tail
        tail \(\leftarrow\) tail.Previous
        tail.Next \(\leftarrow \emptyset\)
        return true
    else if \(n \neq \emptyset\)
        \(n\).Previous.Next \(\leftarrow n\).Next
        \(n\).Next.Previous \(\leftarrow n\).Previous
        return true
    end if
    return false
end Remove
```


### 2.2.3 Reverse Traversal

Unlike the reverse traversal algorithm defined in $\S 2.1 .5$ that is based on some creative invention to bypass the forward only design of a singly linked lists constituent nodes, doubly linked list's don't suffer from this problem. Reverse traversal of a doubly linked list is as simple as that of a forward traversal (defined in §2.1.4) except we start at the tail node and update the pointers in the opposite direction. Figure 2.6 shows the reverse traversal algorithm in action.


Figure 2.6: Doubly linked list reverse traversal

1) algorithm ReverseTraversal(tail)
2) Pre: tail is the tail node of the list to traverse
3) Post: the list has been traversed in reverse order
4) $n \leftarrow$ tail
5) while $n \neq \emptyset$
6) yield $n$.Value
7) $\quad n \leftarrow n$.Previous
8) end while
9) end ReverseTraversal

### 2.3 Summary

Linked lists are good to use when you have an unknown amount of items to store. Using a data structure like an array would require you to be up front about the size of the array. Were you to exceed that size then you would need to invoke a resizing algorithm which has a linear run time. You should also use linked lists when you will only remove nodes at either the head or tail of the list to maintain a constant run time. This requires constantly maintained pointers to the nodes at the head and tail of the list but the memory overhead will pay for itself if this is an operation you will be performing many times.

What linked lists are not very good for is random insertion, accessing nodes by index, and searching. At the expense of a little memory (in most cases 4 bytes would suffice), and a few more read/writes you could maintain a count variable that tracks how many items are contained in the list so that accessing such a primitive property is a constant operation - you just need to update count during the insertion and deletion algorithms.

Typically you will want to always use a singly linked list, particularly because it uses less memory than a doubly linked list. A singly linked list also has the same run time properties of a doubly linked list. We advise to the reader that you use a doubly linked list when you require forwards and backwards traversal, e.g. consider a token stream that you want to parse in a recursive descent fashion, sometimes you will have to backtrack in order to create the correct parse tree. In this scenario a doubly linked list is best as its design makes bi-directional traversal much simpler and quicker than that of a singly linked list.

## Chapter 3

## Binary Search Tree

Binary search tree's (BSTs) are very simple to understand, consider the following where by we have a root node $n$, the left sub tree of $n$ contains values $<n$, the right sub tree however contains nodes whose values are $\geq n$.

BSTs are of interest because they have operations which are favourably fast, insertion, look up, and deletion can all be done in $O(\log n)$. One of the things that I would like to point out and address early is that $O(\log n)$ times for the aforementioned operations can only be attained if the BST is relatively balanced (for a tree data structure with self balancing properties see AVL tree defined in §7.1).

In the following examples you can assume, unless used as a parameter alias that root is a reference to the root node of the tree.


Figure 3.1: Simple unbalanced binary search tree

### 3.1 Insertion

As mentioned previously insertion is an $O(\log n)$ operation provided that the tree is moderately balanced.

1) algorithm Insert(value)
2) Pre: value has passed custom type checks for type $T$
3) Post: value has been placed in the correct location in the tree
4) if root $=\emptyset$
5) $\quad$ root $\leftarrow \operatorname{node}($ value)
6) else
) InsertNode(root, value)
7) end if
8) end Insert
algorithm InsertNode(root, value)
9) Pre: root is the node to start from
10) Post: value has been placed in the correct location in the tree
11) if value < root.Value
12) if root.Left $=\emptyset$
root.Left $\leftarrow \operatorname{node}($ value)
else
InsertNode(root.Left, value) end if
else
if root. Right $=\emptyset$
root.Right $\leftarrow$ node(value)
else
InsertNode(root.Right, value)
end if
end if
end InsertNode

The insertion algorithm is split for a good reason, the first algorithm (nonrecursive) checks a very core base case - whether or not the tree is empty, if the tree is empty then we simply create our root node and we have no need to invoke the recursive InsertNode algorithm. When the core base case is not met we must invoke the recursive InsertNode algorithm which simply guides us to the first appropriate place in the tree to put value. You should notice that at any one stage we perform a binary chop, that is at each stage we either look at the left or right sub tree, not both.

### 3.2 Searching

Searching a BST is really quite simple, the pseudo code is self explanatory but we will look briefly at the premise of the algorithm nonetheless.

We have talked previously about insertion, we go either left or right with the right sub tree containing values that are $\geq n$ where $n$ is the value of the node we are inserting, when searching the rules are made a little more atomic and at any one time we have four cases to consider:

1. the root $=\emptyset$ in which case value is not in the BST; or
2. root.Value $=$ value in which case value is in the BST; or
3. value < root.Value, we must inspect the left sub tree of root for value; or
4. value $>$ root.Value, we must inspect the right sub tree of root for value.
1) algorithm Contains(root, value)
2) Pre: root is the root node of the tree, value is what we would like to locate
3) Post: value is either located or not
4) $\quad$ if root $=\emptyset$ return false
end if
if root. Value $=$ value
return true
else if value < root. Value return Contains(root.Left, value)
else
return Contains(root.Right, value)
end if
5) end Contains

### 3.3 Deletion

Removing a node from a BST is fairly straight forward, there are four cases that we must consider though:

1. the value to remove is a leaf node; or
2. the value to remove has a right sub tree, but no left sub tree; or
3. the value to remove has a left sub tree, but no right sub tree; or
4. the value to remove has both a left and right sub tree in which case we promote the largest value in the left sub tree.

There is also an implicitly added fifth case whereby the node to be removed is the only node in the tree. In this case our current list of cases cover such an occurrence, but you should be aware of this.


Figure 3.2: binary search tree deletion cases
The Remove algorithm described later relies on two further helper algorithms named FindParent, and FindNode which are described in $\S 3.4$ and $\S 3.5$ respectively.
algorithm Remove(value)
2) Pre: value is the value of the node to remove, root is the root node of the BST
3) Post: node with value is removed if found in which case yields true, otherwise false
4) nodeToRemove $\leftarrow$ FindNode(value)
5) if nodeToRemove $=\emptyset$
return false // value not in BST
end if
parent $\leftarrow$ FindParent(value)
if count $=1 / /$ count keeps track of the \# of nodes in the BST root $\leftarrow \emptyset / /$ we are removing the only node in the BST
else if nodeToRemove.Left $=\emptyset$ and nodeToRemove.Right $=$ null
// case \#1
if nodeToRemove.Value < parent.Value
parent.Left $\leftarrow \emptyset$
else
parent.Right $\leftarrow \emptyset$
end if
else if nodeToRemove.Left $=\emptyset$ and nodeToRemove.Right $\neq \emptyset$
// case \# 2
if nodeToRemove.Value < parent. Value
parent.Left $\leftarrow$ nodeToRemove.Right
else
parent.Right $\leftarrow$ nodeToRemove.Right end if
else if nodeToRemove.Left $\neq \emptyset$ and nodeToRemove.Right $=\emptyset$
// case \#3
if nodeToRemove.Value < parent.Value
parent.Left $\leftarrow$ nodeToRemove.Left
else
parent.Right $\leftarrow$ nodeToRemove.Left
end if
else
// case \#4
largestValue $\leftarrow$ nodeToRemove.Left
while largestValue.Right $\neq \emptyset$
// find the largest value in the left sub tree of nodeToRemove
largestValue $\leftarrow$ largestValue.Right
end while
// set the parents' Right pointer of largestValue to $\emptyset$
FindParent(largestValue.Value).Right $\leftarrow \emptyset$
nodeToRemove.Value $\leftarrow$ largestValue.Value
end if
count $\leftarrow$ count -1
return true
end Remove

### 3.4 Finding the parent of a given node

The purpose of this algorithm is simple - to return a reference (or a pointer) to the parent node of the node with the given value. We have found that such an algorithm is very useful, especially when performing extensive tree transformations.

1) algorithm FindParent(value, root)
2) Pre: value is the value of the node we want to find the parent of
3) root is the root node of the BST and is $!=\emptyset$
4) Post: a reference to the parent node of value if found; otherwise $\emptyset$
5) if value $=$ root. Value
6) return $\emptyset$
7) end if
8) if value < root.Value
9) $\quad$ if root.Left $=\emptyset$
10) return $\emptyset$
11) else if root.Left.Value $=$ value
12) return root
13) else
return FindParent(value, root.Left)
end if
else
if root. Right $=\emptyset$ return $\emptyset$
else if root.Right.Value $=$ value return root
else return FindParent(value, root.Right) end if
end if
end FindParent

A special case in the above algorithm is when there exists no node in the BST with value in which case what we return is $\emptyset$ and so callers to this algorithm must check to determine that in fact such a property of a node with the specified value exists.

### 3.5 Attaining a reference to a node

Just like the algorithm explained in $\S 3.4$ this algorithm we have found to be very useful, it simply finds the node with the specified value and returns a reference to that node.

```
algorithm FindNode(root, value)
    Pre: value is the value of the node we want to find the parent of
                root is the root node of the BST
    Post: a reference to the node of value if found; otherwise \(\emptyset\)
    if root \(=\emptyset\)
        return \(\emptyset\)
    end if
    if root. Value \(=\) value
        return root
    else if value < root.Value
        return FindNode(root.Left, value)
    else
        return FindNode(root.Right, value)
    end if
end FindNode
```

For the astute readers you will have noticed that the FindNode algorithm is exactly the same as the Contains algorithm (defined in $\S 3.2$ ) with the modification that we are returning a reference to a node not $t t$ or $f f$.

### 3.6 Finding the smallest and largest values in the binary search tree

To find the smallest value in a BST you simply traverse the nodes in the left sub tree of the BST always going left upon each encounter with a node, the opposite is the case when finding the largest value in the BST. Both algorithms are incredibly simple, they are listed simply for completeness.

The base case in both FindMin, and FindMax algorithms is when the Left (FindMin), or Right (FindMax) node references are $\emptyset$ in which case we have reached the last node.

1) algorithm FindMin(root)
2) Pre: root is the root node of the BST
3) root $\neq \emptyset$
4) Post: the smallest value in the BST is located
5) $\quad$ if root. Left $=\emptyset$
6) return root.Value
7) end if
8) FindMin(root.Left)
9) end FindMin
```
algorithm FindMax(root)
2) Pre: root is the root node of the BST
3) root }\not=
4) Post: the largest value in the BST is located
5) if root.Right = 
6) return root.Value
7) end if
8) FindMax(root.Right)
9) end FindMax
```


### 3.7 Tree Traversals

For the most part when you have a tree you will want to traverse the items in that tree using various strategies in order to attain the node visitation order you require. In this section we will touch on the traversals that DSA provides on all data structures that derive from BinarySearchTree.

### 3.7.1 Preorder

When using the preorder algorithm, you visit the root first, traverse the left sub tree and traverse the right sub tree. An example of preorder traversal is shown in Figure 3.3.
) algorithm Preorder (root)
2) Pre: root is the root node of the BST
3) Post: the nodes in the BST have been visited in preorder
4) if root $\neq \emptyset$
5) yield root.Value
6) Preorder(root.Left)
7) Preorder(root.Right)
8) end if
9) end Preorder

### 3.7.2 Postorder

This algorithm is very similar to that described in $\S 3.7 .1$, however the value of the node is yielded after traversing the left sub tree and the right sub tree. An example of postorder traversal is shown in Figure 3.4.

1) algorithm Postorder (root)
2) Pre: root is the root node of the BST
3) Post: the nodes in the BST have been visited in postorder
4) if root $\neq \emptyset$
5) Postorder(root.Left)
6) Postorder (root.Right)
7) yield root.Value
8) end if
9) end Postorder


Figure 3.3: Preorder visit binary search tree example


Figure 3.4: Postorder visit binary search tree example

### 3.7.3 Inorder

Another variation of the algorithms defined in $\S 3.7 .1$ and $\S 3.7 .2$ is that of inorder traversal where the value of the current node is yielded in between traversing the left sub tree and the right sub tree. An example of inorder traversal is shown in Figure 3.5.


Figure 3.5: Inorder visit binary search tree example

1) algorithm Inorder (root)
2) Pre: root is the root node of the BST
3) Post: the nodes in the BST have been visited in inorder
4) if root $\neq \emptyset$
5) Inorder(root.Left)
6) yield root.Value
7) Inorder(root.Right)
8) end if
9) end Inorder

One of the beauties of inorder traversal is that values are yielded in the order of their values. To clarify this assume that you have a populated BST, if you
were to traverse the tree in an inorder fashion then the values in the yielded sequence would have the following properties $n_{0} \leq n_{1} \leq n_{n}$.

### 3.7.4 Breadth First

Traversing a tree in breadth first order is to yield the values of all nodes of a particular depth in the tree, e.g. given the depth $d$ we would visit the values of all nodes in a left to right fashion at $d$, then we would proceed to $d+1$ and so on until we had ran out of nodes to visit. An example of breadth first traversal is shown in Figure 3.6.

Traditionally the way breadth first is implemented is using a list (vector, resizeable array, etc) to store the values of the nodes visited in breadth first order and then a queue to store those nodes that have yet to be visited.


Figure 3.6: Breadth First visit binary search tree example

```
algorithm BreadthFirst(root)
    Pre: root is the root node of the BST
3) Post: the nodes in the BST have been visited in breadth first order
4) \(\quad q \leftarrow\) queue
5) while root \(\neq \emptyset\)
6) yield root.Value
7) if root.Left \(\neq \emptyset\)
8) \(\quad\).Enqueue(root.Left)
9) end if
10) if root.Right \(\neq \emptyset\)
                \(q\).Enqueue(root.Right)
        end if
        if ! \(q\).IsEmpty ()
                root \(\leftarrow q\).Dequeue()
        else
            root \(\leftarrow \emptyset\)
        end if
    end while
19) end BreadthFirst
```


### 3.8 Summary

Binary search tree's present a compelling solution when you want to have a way to represent types that are ordered according to some custom rules that are inherent for that particular type. With logarithmic insertion, lookup, and deletion it is very effecient. Traversal remains linear, however as you have seen there are many, many ways in which you can visit the nodes of a tree. Tree's are recursive data structures, so typically you will find that many algorithms that operate on a tree are recursive.

The run times presented in this chapter are based on a pretty big assumption - that the binary search tree's left and right sub tree's are relatively balanced. We can only attain logarithmic run time's for the algorithms presented earlier if this property exists. A binary search tree does not enforce such a property and so the run time's for such operations will not be logarithmic, but very close. Later in $\S 7.1$ we will examine an AVL tree that enforces self balancing properties to help attain logarithmic run time's.

## Chapter 4

## Heap

A heap can be thought of as a simple tree data structure, however a heap usually employs one of two strategies:

1. min heap; or
2. max heap

Each strategy determines the properties of the tree and it's values, e.g. if you were to choose the strategy min heap then each parent node would have a value that is $\leq$ than it's children, thus the node at the root of the tree will have the smallest value in the tree, the opposite is true if you were to use a max heap. Generally as a rule you should always assume that a heap employs the min heap strategy unless otherwise stated.

Unlike other tree data structures like the one defined in $\S 3$ a heap is generally implemented as an array rather than a series of nodes who each have references to other nodes, both however contain nodes that have at most two children. Figure 4.1 shows how the tree (not a heap data structure) (12 7(3 2) 6(9 )) would be represented as an array. The array in Figure 4.1 is a result of simply adding values in a top-to-bottom, left-to-right fashion. Figure 4.2 shows arrows to the direct left and right child of each value in the array.

This chapter is very much centred around the notion of representing a tree as an array and because this property is key to understanding this chapter Figure 4.3 shows a step by step process to represent a tree data structure as an array. In Figure 4.3 you can assume that the default capacity of our array is eight.

Using just an array is often not sufficient as we have to be upfront about the size of the array to use for the heap, often the run time behaviour of a program can be unpredictable when it comes to the size of it's internal data structures thus we need to choose a more dynamic data structure that contains the following properties:

1. we can specify an initial size of the array for scenarios when we know the upper storage limit required; and
2. the data structure encapsulates resizing algorithms to grow the array as required at run time

| 12 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Figure 4.1: Array representation of a simple tree data structure


Figure 4.2: Direct children of the nodes in an array representation of a tree data structure

1. Vector
2. ArrayList
3. List

In Figure 4.1 what might not be clear is how we would handle a null reference type. How we handle null values may change from project to project, for example in one scenario we may be very strict and say you can't add a null object to the Heap. Other cases may dictate that a null object is given the smallest value when comparing, similarly we may say that they might have the maximum value when comparing. You will have to resolve this ambiguity yourself having studied your requirements. Certainly for now it is much clearer to think of none null objects being added to the heap.

Because we are using an array we need some way to calculate the index of a parent node, and the children of a node, the required expressions for this are defined as follows:

1. $($ index -1$) / 2($ parent index)
2. $2 *$ index +1 (left child)
3. $2 *$ index +2 (right child)

In Figure 4.4 a) represents the calculation of the right child of $12(2 * 0+2)$; and b) calculates the index of the parent of $3((3-1) / 2)$.

### 4.1 Insertion

Designing an algorithm for heap insertion is simple, however we must ensure that heap order is preserved after each insertion - generally this is a post insertion operation. Inserting a value into the next free slot in an array is simple, we just need to keep track of, and increment a counter after each insertion that tells us the next free index in the array. Inserting our value into the heap is the first part of the algorithm, the second is validating heap order which in the case of
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Figure 4.3: Converting a tree data structure to its array counterpart
a)


Figure 4.4: Calculating node properties
min heap ordering requires us to swap the values of a parent and it's child if the value of the child is $<$ the value of it's parent. We must do this for each sub tree the value we just inserted is a constituent of.

The run time efficiency for heap insertion is $O(\log n)$. The run time is a by product of verifying heap order as the first part of the algorithm (the actual insertion into the array) is $O(1)$.

Figure 4.5 shows the steps of inserting the values $3,9,12,7$, and 1 into a min heap.

1

| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

2

| 3 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

3

| 3 | 9 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

4

4.1

| 3 | 7 | 12 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

5

5.1

5.2


Figure 4.5: Inserting values into a min heap

```
algorithm Add(value)
2) Pre: value is the value to add to the heap
3) Count is the number of items in the heap
4) Post: the value has been added to the heap
5) heap[Count] \(\leftarrow\) value
6) Count \(\leftarrow\) Count +1
7) MinHeapify()
8) end Add
1) algorithm MinHeapify()
2) Pre: Count is the number of items in the heap
3) heap is the array used to store the heap items
4) Post: the heap has preserved min heap ordering
5) \(\quad i \leftarrow\) Count -1
6) while \(i>0\) and heap \([i]<\) heap \([(i-1) / 2]\)
7) \(\quad \operatorname{Swap}(h e a p[i]\), heap \([(i-1) / 2]\)
8) \(\quad i \leftarrow(i-1) / 2\)
9) end while
10) end MinHeapify
```

The design of the MaxHeapify algorithm is very similar to that of the MinHeapify algorithm, the only difference is that the $<$ operator in the second condition of entering the while loop is changed to $>$.

### 4.2 Deletion

Just like when adding an item to the heap, when deleting an item from the heap we must ensure that heap ordering is preserved. The algorithm for deletion has three steps:

1. find the index of the value to delete
2. put the last value in the heap at the index location of the item to delete
3. verify heap ordering for each sub tree of that the value was removed from
```
algorithm Remove(value)
    Pre: value is the value to remove from the heap
        left, and right are updated alias' for \(2 * i n d e x+1\), and \(2 * i n d e x+2\) respectively
                Count is the number of items in the heap
        heap is the array used to store the heap items
    Post: value is located in the heap and removed, true; otherwise false
    // step 1
    index \(\leftarrow\) FindIndex(heap, value)
    if index \(<0\)
        return false
    end if
    Count \(\leftarrow\) Count -1
    // step 2
    heap[index] \(\leftarrow\) heap[Count]
    // step 3
    while left < Count and heap \([\) index \(]>\) heap \([\) left \(]\) or heap \([\) index \(]>h e a p[r i g h t]\)
        // promote smallest key from sub tree
        if heap \([\) left \(]<\) heap \([\) right \(]\)
            Swap(heap, left, index)
            index \(\leftarrow l e f t\)
        else
        Swap(heap, right, index)
        index \(\leftarrow\) right
        end if
    end while
    return true
end Remove
```

Figure 4.6 shows the Remove algorithm visually, removing 1 from a heap containing the values 1, 3, 9, 12, and 13. In Figure 4.6 you can assume that we have specified that the backing array of the heap should have an initial capacity of eight.

### 4.3 Searching

A simple searching algorithm for a heap is merely a case of traversing the items in the heap array sequentially, thus this operation has a run time complexity of $O(n)$. The search can be thought of as one that uses a breadth first traversal as defined in $\S 3.7 .4$ to visit the nodes within the heap to check for the presence of a specified item.
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Figure 4.6: Deleting an item from a heap

```
algorithm Contains(value)
    Pre: value is the value to search the heap for
                Count is the number of items in the heap
                heap is the array used to store the heap items
    Post: value is located in the heap, in which case true; otherwise false
    \(i \leftarrow 0\)
    while \(i<\) Count and heap \([i] \neq\) value
        \(i \leftarrow i+1\)
    end while
    if \(i<\) Count
        return true
    else
            return false
    end if
end Contains
```

The problem with the previous algorithm is that we don't take advantage of the properties in which all values of a heap hold, that is the property of the heap strategy being used. For instance if we had a heap that didn't contain the value 4 we would have to exhaust the whole backing heap array before we could determine that it wasn't present in the heap. Factoring in what we know about the heap we can optimise the search algorithm by including logic which makes use of the properties presented by a certain heap strategy.

Optimising to deterministically state that a value is in the heap is not that straightforward, however the problem is a very interesting one. As an example consider a min-heap that doesn't contain the value 5 . We can only rule that the value is not in the heap if $5>$ the parent of the current node being inspected and $<$ the current node being inspected $\forall$ nodes at the current level we are traversing. If this is the case then 5 cannot be in the heap and so we can provide an answer without traversing the rest of the heap. If this property is not satisfied for any level of nodes that we are inspecting then the algorithm will indeed fall back to inspecting all the nodes in the heap. The optimisation that we present can be very common and so we feel that the extra logic within the loop is justified to prevent the expensive worse case run time.

The following algorithm is specifically designed for a min-heap. To tailor the algorithm for a max-heap the two comparison operations in the else if condition within the inner while loop should be flipped.

```
algorithm Contains(value)
    Pre: value is the value to search the heap for
        Count is the number of items in the heap
        heap is the array used to store the heap items
    Post: value is located in the heap, in which case true; otherwise false
    start \(\leftarrow 0\)
    nodes \(\leftarrow 1\)
    while start < Count
        start \(\leftarrow\) nodes -1
        end \(\leftarrow\) nodes + start
        count \(\leftarrow 0\)
        while start < Count and start \(<\) end
            if value \(=\) heap \([\) start \(]\)
                    return true
            else if value \(>\) Parent(heap[start]) and value \(<\) heap \([\) start \(]\)
                count \(\leftarrow\) count +1
            end if
            start \(\leftarrow\) start +1
        end while
        if count \(=\) nodes
            return false
        end if
        nodes \(\leftarrow\) nodes \(* 2\)
    end while
    return false
end Contains
```

The new Contains algorithm determines if the value is not in the heap by checking whether count $=$ nodes. In such an event where this is true then we can confirm that $\forall$ nodes $n$ at level $i$ : value $>\operatorname{Parent}(n)$, value $<n$ thus there is no possible way that value is in the heap. As an example consider Figure 4.7. If we are searching for the value 10 within the min-heap displayed it is obvious that we don't need to search the whole heap to determine 9 is not present. We can verify this after traversing the nodes in the second level of the heap as the previous expression defined holds true.

### 4.4 Traversal

As mentioned in $\S 4.3$ traversal of a heap is usually done like that of any other array data structure which our heap implementation is based upon, as a result you traverse the array starting at the initial array index (usually 0 in most languages) and then visit each value within the array until you have reached the greatest bound of the array. You will note that in the search algorithm that we use Count as this upper bound, not the actual physical bound of the allocated array. Count is used to partition the conceptual heap from the actual array implementation of the heap, we only care about the items in the heap not the whole array which may contain various other bits of data as a result of heap mutation.

Level 1

Level 2

Level 3
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Figure 4.7: Determining 10 is not in the heap after inspecting the nodes of Level 2

### 4.5 Summary

Heaps are most commonly used to implement priority queues (see $\S 6.2$ for an example implementation) and to facilitate heap sort. As discussed in both the insertion $\S 4.1$, and deletion $\S 4.2$ sections a heap maintains heap order according to the selected ordering strategy. These strategies are referred to as min-heap, and max-heap. The former strategy enforces that the value of a parent node is less than that of each of its children, the latter enforces that the value of the parent is greater than that of each of its children.

When you come across a heap and you are not told what strategy it enforces you should assume that it uses the min-heap strategy. If the heap can be configured otherwise, e.g. to use max-heap then this will often require you to state so explicitly. Because of the use of a strategy all values in the heap will either be ordered in ascending, or descending order. The heap is progressively being sorted during the invocation of the insertion, and deletion algorithms. The cost of such a policy is that upon each insertion and deletion we invoke algorithms that both have logarithmic run time complexities. While the cost of maintaining order might not seem overly expensive it does still come at a price. We will also have to factor in the cost of dynamic array expansion at some stage. This will occur if the number of items within the heap outgrows the space allocated in the heap's backing array. It may be in your best interest to research a good initial starting size for your heap array. This will assist in minimising the impact of dynamic array resizing.

## Chapter 5

## Sets

A set contains a number of values, the values are in no particular order and the values within the set are distinct from one another.

Generally set implementations tend to check that a value is not in the set first, before adding it to the set and so the issue of repeated values within the set is not an issue.

This section does not cover set theory in depth, rather it demonstrates briefly the ways in which the values of sets can be defined, and common operations that may be performed upon them.

The following $A=\{4,7,9,12,0\}$ defines a set $A$ whose values are listed within the curly braces.

Given the set $A$ defined previously we can say that 4 is a member of $A$ denoted by $4 \in A$, and that 99 is not a member of $A$ denoted by $99 \notin A$.

Often defining a set by manually stating its members is tiresome, and more importantly the set may contain a large amount of values. A more concise way of defining a set and its members is by providing a series of properties that the values of the set must satisfy. In the following $A=\{x \mid x>0, x \% 2=0\}$ the set $A$ contains only positive integers that are even, $x$ is an alias to the current value we are inspecting and to the right hand side of $\mid$ are the properties that $x$ must satisfy to be in the set $A$ that is it must be $>0$, and the remainder of the arithmetic expression $x / 2$ must be 0 . You will be able to note from the previous definition of the set $A$ that the set can contain an infinite number of values, and that the values of the set $A$ will be all even integers that are a member of the natural numbers set $\mathbb{N}$, where $\mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$.

Finally in this brief introduction to sets we will cover set intersection and union, both of which are very common operations (amongst many others) performed on sets. The union set can be defined as follows $A \cup B=\{x \mid x \in$ $A$ or $x \in B\}$, and intersection $A \cap B=\{x \mid x \in A$ and $x \in B\}$. Figure 5.1 demonstrates set intersection and union graphically.

Given the following set definitions $A=\{1,2,3\}$, and $B=\{6,2,9\}$ the union of the two sets is $A \cup B=\{1,2,3,6,9\}$, and the intersection of the two sets is $A \cap B=\{2\}$.

Both set union and intersection are sometimes provided within the framework associated with mainstream languages, this is the case in .NET $3.5^{1}$

[^1]

Figure 5.1: a) $A \cap B$; b) $A \cup B$
where such algorithms exist as extension methods defined in the type System.Linq.Enumerable ${ }^{2}$, as a result DSA does not provide implementations of these algorithms. Most of the algorithms defined in System.Linq.Enumerable deal mainly with sequences rather than sets exclusively.

Set union can be implemented as a simple traversal of both sets adding each item of the two sets to a new union set.

1) algorithm Union(set1, set2)
2) Pre: set 1 , and $\operatorname{set} 2 \neq \emptyset$
3) union is a set
4) Post: A union of set1, and set2 has been created
5) foreach item in set1
6) union.Add(item)
7) end foreach
8) foreach item in set 2
9) union. $\operatorname{Add}($ item $)$
10) end foreach
11) return union
12) end Union

The run time of our Union algorithm is $O(m+n)$ where $m$ is the number of items in the first set and $n$ is the number of items in the second set.

Set intersection is also trivial to implement. The only major thing worth pointing out about our algorithm is that we traverse the set containing the fewest items. We can do this because if we have exhausted all the items in the smaller of the two sets then there are no more items that are members of both sets, thus we have no more items to add to the intersection set.

[^2]```
algorithm \(\operatorname{Intersection(set1,~set2)~}\)
    Pre: set 1 , and \(\operatorname{set} 2 \neq \emptyset\)
                intersection, and smallerSet are sets
    Post: An intersection of set1, and set 2 has been created
    if set1.Count \(<\) set2.Count
        smallerSet \(\leftarrow\) set 1
    else
        smallerSet \(\leftarrow\) set 2
    end if
    foreach item in smallerSet
        if set1.Contains(item) and set2.Contains(item)
                intersection.Add(item)
        end if
    end foreach
    return intersection
end Intersection
```

The run time of our Intersection algorithm is $O(n)$ where $n$ is the number of items in the smaller of the two sets.

### 5.1 Unordered

Sets in the general sense do not enforce the explicit ordering of their members, for example the members of $B=\{6,2,9\}$ conform to no ordering scheme because it is not required.

Most libraries provide implementations of unordered sets and so DSA does not, we simply mention it here to disambiguate between an unordered set and ordered set.

We will only look at insertion for an unordered set and cover briefly why a hash table is an efficient data structure to use for its implementation.

### 5.1.1 Insertion

Unordered sets can be efficiently implemented using a hash table as its backing data structure. As mentioned previously we only add an item to a set if that item is not already in the set, thus the backing data structure we use must have a quick look up and insertion run time complexity.

A hash map generally provides the following:

1. $O(1)$ for insertion
2. approaching $O(1)$ for look up

The above depends on how good the hashing algorithm of the hash table is, however most hash tables employ incredibly efficient general purpose hashing algorithms and so the run time complexities for the hash table in your library of choice should be very similar in terms of efficiency.

### 5.2 Ordered

An ordered set is similar to an unordered set in the sense that its members are distinct, however an ordered set enforces some predefined comparison on each of its members to result in a set whose members are ordered appropriately.

In DSA 0.5 and earlier we used a binary search tree (defined in $\S 3$ ) as the internal backing data structure for our ordered set, from versions 0.6 onwards we replaced the binary search tree with an AVL tree primarily because AVL is balanced.

The ordered set has it's order realised by performing an inorder traversal upon its backing tree data structure which yields the correct ordered sequence of set members.

Because an ordered set in DSA is simply a wrapper for an AVL tree that additionally enforces the tree contains unique items you should read $\S 7.1$ to learn more about the run time complexities associated with its operations.

### 5.3 Summary

Set's provide a way of having a collection of unique objects, either ordered or unordered.

When implementing a set (either ordered, or unordered) it is key to select the correct backing data structure. As we discussed in $\S 5.1 .1$ because we check first if the item is already contained within the set before adding it we need this check to be as quick as possible. For unordered sets we can rely on the use of a hash table and use the key of an item to determine whether or not it is already contained within the set. Using a hash table this check results in a near constant run time complexity. Ordered sets cost a little more for this check, however the logarithmic growth that we incur by using a binary search tree as its backing data structure is acceptable.

Another key property of sets implemented using the approach we describe is that both have favourably fast look-up times. Just like the check before insertion, for a hash table this run time complexity should be near constant. Ordered sets as described in 3 perform a binary chop at each stage when searching for the existence of an item yielding a logarithmic run time.

We can use sets to facilitate many algorithms that would otherwise be a little less clear in their implementation, e.g. in $\S 12.4$ we use an unordered set to assist in the construction of an algorithm that determines the number of repeated words within a string.

## Chapter 6

## Queues

Queues are an essential data structure that have found themselves used in vast amounts of software from user mode to kernel mode applications that are core to the system. Fundamentally they honour a first in first out (FIFO) strategy, that is the item first put into the queue will be the first served, the second item added to the queue will be the second to be served and so on.

All queues only allow you to access the item at the front of the queue, when you add an item to the queue that item is placed at the back of the queue.

Historically queues always have the following three core methods:
Enqueue: places an item at the back of the queue;
Dequeue: retrieves the item at the front of the queue, and removes it from the queue;

Front: ${ }^{1}$ retrieves the item at the front of the queue without removing it from the queue

As an example to demonstrate the behaviour of a queue we will walk through a scenario whereby we invoke each of the previously mentioned methods observing the mutations upon the queue data structure, the following list describes the operations performed upon the queue in Figure 6.1:

1. Enqueue(10)
2. Enqueue(12)
3. Enqueue(9)
4. Enqueue(8)
5. Enqueue(3)
6. Dequeue()
7. Front()
8. Enqueue(33)

[^3]9. Front()
10. Dequeue()

### 6.1 Standard Queue

A queue is implicitly like that described prior to this section, in DSA we don't provide a standard queue because queues are so popular and such a core data structure you will find that pretty much every mainstream library provides a queue data structure that you can use with your language of choice. In this section we will discuss how you can, if required implement an efficient queue data structure.

The main property of a queue is that we have access to the item at the front of the queue, the queue data structure can be efficiently implemented using a singly linked list (defined in §2.1). A singly linked list provides $O(1)$ insertion, and deletion run time complexities - the reason we have an $O(1)$ run time complexity for deletion is because we only ever in a queue remove the item at the front (Dequeue) and since we always have a pointer to the item at the head of a singly linked list removal is simply a case of returning the value of the old head node, and then modifying the head pointer to be the next node of the old head node. The run time complexity for searching a queue remains the same as that of a singly linked list, $O(n)$.

### 6.2 Priority Queue

Unlike a standard queue where items are ordered in terms of who arrived first, a priority queue determines the order of its items by using a form of custom comparer to see which item has the highest priority. Other than the items in a priority queue being ordered by priority it remains the same as a normal queue, you can only access the item at the front of the queue.

A sensible implementation of a priority queue is to use a heap data structure (defined in §4). Using a heap we can look at the first item in the queue by simply returning the item at index 0 within the heap array. A heap provides us with the ability to construct a priority queue where by the items with the highest priority are either those with the smallest value, or those with the largest.

### 6.3 Summary

With normal queues we have seen that those who arrive first are dealt with first, that is they are dealt with in a first-in-first-out (FIFO) order. Queues can be ever so useful, e.g. the Windows CPU scheduler uses a different queue for each priority of process to determine who should be the next process to utilise the CPU for a specified time quantum. Normal queues have constant insertion, and deletion run times. Searching a queue is fairly unnatural in the sense that typically you are only interested in the item at the front of the queue, despite that searching is usually exposed on queues which has a linear run time.

We have also seen in this chapter priority queues where those at the front of the queue have the highest priority, those near the back have the least. A


5


6



8


9


10


Figure 6.1: Queue mutations
priority queue as mentioned in this chapter uses a heap data structure as its backing store, thus the run times for insertion, deletion, and searching is the same as those for a heap (defined in §4).

Queues are a very natural data structure, and while fairly primitive can make many problems a lot simpler, e.g. breadth first search defined in §3.7.4 makes extensive use of queues.

## Chapter 7

## Balanced Trees

### 7.1 AVL Tree

## Part II

## Algorithms

## Chapter 8

## Sorting

All the sorting algorithms in this chapter use data structures of a specific type to demonstrate sorting, e.g. a 32 bit integer is often used as its associated operations (e.g. $<,>$, etc) are clear in their behaviour.

The algorithms discussed can easily be translated into generic sorting algorithms within your respective language of choice.

### 8.1 Bubble Sort

One of the most simple forms of sorting is that of comparing each item with every other item in some list, however as the description may imply this form of sorting is not particularly effecient $O\left(n^{2}\right)$. In it's most simple form bubble sort can be implemented as two loops.

1) algorithm BubbleSort(list)
2) Pre: list $\neq \emptyset$
3) Post: list has been sorted into values of ascending order
4) for $i \leftarrow 0$ to list.Count -1
5) for $j \leftarrow 0$ to list.Count -1
6) $\quad$ if list $[i]<\operatorname{list}[j]$
7) $\quad \operatorname{Swap}(l i s t[i], l i s t[j])$
8) end if
9) end for
10) 

end for
return list
end BubbleSort

### 8.2 Merge Sort

Merge sort is an algorithm that has a fairly efficient space time complexity $O(n \log n)$ and is fairly trivial to implement. The algorithm is based on splitting a list, into two similar sized lists (left, and right) and sorting each list and then merging the sorted lists back together.

Note: the function MergeOrdered simply takes two ordered lists and makes them one.


Figure 8.1: Bubble Sort Iterations

1) algorithm Mergesort (list)
2) Pre: list $\neq \emptyset$
3) Post: list has been sorted into values of ascending order
4) if list.Count $=1 / /$ already sorted
5) return list
6) end if
7) $\quad m \leftarrow$ list.Count / 2
8) $\quad l e f t \leftarrow \operatorname{list}(m)$
9) $\quad$ right $\leftarrow \operatorname{list}($ list.Count $-m)$
10) for $i \leftarrow 0$ to left.Count-1
11) $\quad l e f t[i] \leftarrow \operatorname{list}[i]$
12) end for
13) for $i \leftarrow 0$ to right.Count-1
14) $\quad$ right $[i] \leftarrow \operatorname{list}[i]$
15) end for
16) $\quad$ left $\leftarrow \operatorname{Mergesort}(l e f t)$
17) right $\leftarrow \operatorname{Mergesort}($ right $)$
18) return MergeOrdered(left, right)
19) end Mergesort


Figure 8.2: Merge Sort Divide et Impera Approach

### 8.3 Quick Sort

Quick sort is one of the most popular sorting algorithms based on divide et impera strategy, resulting in an $O(n \log n)$ complexity. The algorithm starts by picking an item, called pivot, and moving all smaller items before it, while all greater elements after it. This is the main quick sort operation, called partition, recursively repeated on lesser and greater sub lists until their size is one or zero - in which case the list is implicitly sorted.

Choosing an appropriate pivot, as for example the median element is fundamental for avoiding the drastically reduced performance of $O\left(n^{2}\right)$.


Figure 8.3: Quick Sort Example (pivot median strategy)

1) algorithm QuickSort(list)
2) Pre: list $\neq \emptyset$
3) Post: list has been sorted into values of ascending order
4) if list.Count $=1 / /$ already sorted
return list
5) end if
6) $\quad$ pivot $\leftarrow$ MedianValue $($ list $)$
7) for $i \leftarrow 0$ to list.Count-1
8) if list $[i]=$ pivot
9) equal.Insert(list[i])
10) end if
11) if list $[i]<$ pivot
less.Insert(list $[i])$
end if
if list $[i]>$ pivot
greater. $\operatorname{Insert}($ list $[i])$
end if
end for
return Concatenate(QuickSort(less), equal, QuickSort(greater))
end Quicksort

### 8.4 Insertion Sort

Insertion sort is a somewhat interesting algorithm with an expensive runtime of $O\left(n^{2}\right)$. It can be best thought of as a sorting scheme similar to that of sorting a hand of playing cards, i.e. you take one card and then look at the rest with the intent of building up an ordered set of cards in your hand.


Figure 8.4: Insertion Sort Iterations

1) algorithm Insertionsort (list)
2) Pre: list $\neq \emptyset$
3) Post: list has been sorted into values of ascending order
4) unsorted $\leftarrow 1$
5) while unsorted $<$ list.Count
6) $\quad$ hold $\leftarrow$ list $[$ unsorted $]$
7) $\quad i \leftarrow$ unsorted -1
8) while $i \geq 0$ and hold $<\operatorname{list}[i]$
9) $\quad$ list $[i+1] \leftarrow$ list $[i]$
10) $\quad i \leftarrow i-1$
11) end while
12) $\quad$ list $[i+1] \leftarrow$ hold
13) unsorted $\leftarrow$ unsorted +1
14) end while
15) return list
16) end Insertionsort

### 8.5 Shell Sort

Put simply shell sort can be thought of as a more efficient variation of insertion sort as described in $\S 8.4$, it achieves this mainly by comparing items of varying distances apart resulting in a run time complexity of $O\left(n \log ^{2} n\right)$.

Shell sort is fairly straight forward but may seem somewhat confusing at first as it differs from other sorting algorithms in the way it selects items to compare. Figure 8.5 shows shell sort being ran on an array of integers, the red coloured square is the current value we are holding.

1) algorithm ShellSort(list)
2) Pre: list $\neq \emptyset$
3) Post: list has been sorted into values of ascending order
4) increment $\leftarrow$ list.Count $/ 2$
5) while increment $\neq 0$
6) current $\leftarrow$ increment
7) while current $<$ list.Count
hold $\leftarrow$ list $[$ current $]$
$i \leftarrow$ current - increment
while $i \geq 0$ and hold $<$ list $[i]$
list $[i+$ increment $] \leftarrow$ list $[i]$
$i-=$ increment
end while
list $[i+$ increment $] \leftarrow$ hold
current $\leftarrow$ current +1
end while
increment $/=2$
end while
return list
end ShellSort

### 8.6 Radix Sort

Unlike the sorting algorithms described previously radix sort uses buckets to sort items, each bucket holds items with a particular property called a key. Normally a bucket is a queue, each time radix sort is performed these buckets are emptied starting the smallest key bucket to the largest. When looking at items within a list to sort we do so by isolating a specific key, e.g. in the example we are about to show we have a maximum of three keys for all items, that is the highest key we need to look at is hundreds. Because we are dealing with, in this example base 10 numbers we have at any one point 10 possible key values $0 . .9$ each of which has their own bucket. Before we show you this first simple version of radix sort let us clarify what we mean by isolating keys. Given the number 102 if we look at the first key, the ones then we can see we have two of them, progressing to the next key - tens we can see that the number has zero of them, finally we can see that the number has a single hundred. The number used as an example has in total three keys:


Figure 8.5: Shell sort

1. Ones
2. Tens
3. Hundreds

For further clarification what if we wanted to determine how many thousands the number 102 has? Clearly there are none, but often looking at a number as final like we often do it is not so obvious so when asked the question how many thousands does 102 have you should simply pad the number with a zero in that location, e.g. 0102 here it is more obvious that the key value at the thousands location is zero.

The last thing to identify before we actually show you a simple implementation of radix sort that works on only positive integers, and requires you to specify the maximum key size in the list is that we need a way to isolate a specific key at any one time. The solution is actually very simple, but its not often you want to isolate a key in a number so we will spell it out clearly here. A key can be accessed from any integer with the following expression: $k e y \leftarrow$ (number / keyToAccess) \% 10. As a simple example lets say that we want to access the tens key of the number 1290, the tens column is key 10 and so after substitution yields key $\leftarrow(1290 / 10) \% 10=9$. The next key to look at for a number can be attained by multiplying the last key by ten working left to right in a sequential manner. The value of key is used in the following algorithm to work out the index of an array of queues to enqueue the item into.

1) algorithm Radix(list, maxKeySize)
2) Pre: list $\neq \emptyset$
maxKeySize $\geq 0$ and represents the largest key size in the list
3) $\quad$ maxKeySize $\geq 0$ and
4) Post: list has been sorted
5) queues $\leftarrow$ Queue[10]
6) indexOfKey $\leftarrow 1$
7) for $i \leftarrow 0$ to $\operatorname{maxKeySize}-1$
8) foreach item in list
9) queues[GetQueueIndex(item, indexOfKey)].Enqueue(item)
10) end foreach
11) $\quad$ list $\leftarrow$ CollapseQueues $(q u e u e s)$
12) ClearQueues(queues)
13) $\quad$ indexOf Key $\leftarrow$ indexOf Key * 10
14) end for
15) return list
16) end Radix

Figure 8.6 shows the members of queues from the algorithm described above operating on the list whose members are $90,12,8,791,123$, and 61 , the key we are interested in for each number is highlighted. Omitted queues in Figure 8.6 mean that they contain no items.

### 8.7 Summary

Throughout this chapter we have seen many different algorithms for sorting lists, some are very efficient (e.g. quick sort defined in §8.3), some are not (e.g.

a)

b)

$0 \quad$| 0 | 0 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$| 0 | 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 0 | 6 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

1

7

c)

Figure 8.6: Radix sort base 10 algorithm
bubble sort defined in §8.1).
Selecting the correct sorting algorithm is usually denoted purely by efficiency, e.g. you would always choose merge sort over shell sort and so on. There are also other factors to look at though and these are based on the actual implementation. Some algorithms are very nicely expressed in a recursive fashion, however these algorithms ought to be pretty efficient, e.g. implementing a linear, quadratic, or slower algorithm using recursion would be a very bad idea.

If you want to learn more about why you should be very, very careful when implementing recursive algorithms see Appendix C.

## Chapter 9

## Numeric

Unless stated otherwise the alias $n$ denotes a standard 32 bit integer.

### 9.1 Primality Test

A simple algorithm that determines whether or not a given integer is a prime number, e.g. $2,5,7$, and 13 are all prime numbers, however 6 is not as it can be the result of the product of two numbers that are $<6$.

In an attempt to slow down the inner loop the $\sqrt{n}$ is used as the upper bound.

1) algorithm IsPrime ( $n$ )
2) Post: $n$ is determined to be a prime or not
3) $\quad$ for $i \leftarrow 2$ to $n$ do
4) $\quad$ for $j \leftarrow 1$ to $\operatorname{sqrt}(n)$ do
5) if $i * j=n$
6) return false
7) end if
8) end for
9) end for
10) end IsPrime

### 9.2 Base conversions

DSA contains a number of algorithms that convert a base 10 number to its equivalent binary, octal or hexadecimal form. For example $78_{10}$ has a binary representation of $1001110_{2}$.

Table 9.1 shows the algorithm trace when the number to convert to binary is $742_{10}$.

1) algorithm $\operatorname{ToBinary}(n)$
2) Pre: $n \geq 0$
3) Post: $n$ has been converted into its base 2 representation
4) while $n>0$
5) list.Add( $n \% 2)$
6) $\quad n \leftarrow n / 2$
7) end while
8) return Reverse(list)
9) end ToBinary

| $n$ | list |
| :--- | :--- |
| 742 | $\{0\}$ |
| 371 | $\{0,1\}$ |
| 185 | $\{0,1,1\}$ |
| 92 | $\{0,1,1,0\}$ |
| 46 | $\{0,1,1,0,1\}$ |
| 23 | $\{0,1,1,0,1,1\}$ |
| 11 | $\{0,1,1,0,1,1,1\}$ |
| 5 | $\{0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1\}$ |
| 2 | $\{0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,0\}$ |
| 1 | $\{0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,0,1\}$ |

Table 9.1: Algorithm trace of ToBinary

### 9.3 Attaining the greatest common denominator of two numbers

A fairly routine problem in mathematics is that of finding the greatest common denominator of two integers, what we are essentially after is the greatest number which is a multiple of both, e.g. the greatest common denominator of 9 , and 15 is 3 . One of the most elegant solutions to this problem is based on Euclid's algorithm that has a run time complexity of $O\left(n^{2}\right)$.

1) algorithm GreatestCommonDenominator ( $m, n$ )
2) Pre: $m$ and $n$ are integers
3) Post: the greatest common denominator of the two integers is calculated
4) if $n=0$
5) return $m$
6) end if
7) return GreatestCommonDenominator ( $n, m \% n$ )
8) end GreatestCommonDenominator

### 9.4 Computing the maximum value for a number of a specific base consisting of N digits

This algorithm computes the maximum value of a number for a given number of digits, e.g. using the base 10 system the maximum number we can have made up of 4 digits is the number $9999_{10}$. Similarly the maximum number that consists of 4 digits for a base 2 number is $1111_{2}$ which is $15_{10}$.

The expression by which we can compute this maximum value for $N$ digits is: $B^{N}-1$. In the previous expression $B$ is the number base, and $N$ is the number of digits. As an example if we wanted to determine the maximum value for a hexadecimal number (base 16) consisting of 6 digits the expression would be as follows: $16^{6}-1$. The maximum value of the previous example would be represented as $F F F F F F_{16}$ which yields $16777215_{10}$.

In the following algorithm numberBase should be considered restricted to the values of $2,8,9$, and 16 . For this reason in our actual implementation numberBase has an enumeration type. The Base enumeration type is defined as:

$$
\text { Base }=\{\text { Binary } \leftarrow 2, \text { Octal } \leftarrow 8, \text { Decimal } \leftarrow 10, \text { Hexadecimal } \leftarrow 16\}
$$

The reason we provide the definition of Base is to give you an idea how this algorithm can be modelled in a more readable manner rather than using various checks to determine the correct base to use. For our implementation we cast the value of numberBase to an integer, as such we extract the value associated with the relevant option in the Base enumeration. As an example if we were to cast the option Octal to an integer we would get the value 8. In the algorithm listed below the cast is implicit so we just use the actual argument numberBase.

1) algorithm MaxValue(numberBase, n)
2) Pre: numberBase is the number system to use, $n$ is the number of digits
3) Post: the maximum value for numberBase consisting of $n$ digits is computed
4) return Power(numberBase, n) -1
5) end MaxValue

### 9.5 Factorial of a number

Attaining the factorial of a number is a primitive mathematical operation. Many implementations of the factorial algorithm are recursive as the problem is recursive in nature, however here we present an iterative solution. The iterative solution is presented because it too is trivial to implement and doesn't suffer from the use of recursion (for more on recursion see §C).

The factorial of 0 and 1 is 0 . The aforementioned acts as a base case that we will build upon. The factorial of 2 is $2 *$ the factorial of 1 , similarly the factorial of 3 is $3 *$ the factorial of 2 and so on. We can indicate that we are after the factorial of a number using the form $N$ ! where $N$ is the number we wish to attain the factorial of. Our algorithm doesn't use such notation but it is handy to know.

1) algorithm Factorial $(n)$
2) Pre: $n \geq 0, n$ is the number to compute the factorial of
3) Post: the factorial of $n$ is computed
4) if $n<2$
5) return 1
6) end if
7) factorial $\leftarrow 1$
8) for $i \leftarrow 2$ to $n$
9) $\quad$ factorial $\leftarrow$ factorial $* i$
10) end for
11) return factorial
12) end Factorial

## Chapter 10

## Searching

### 10.1 Sequential Search

A simple algorithm that search for a specific item inside a list. It operates looping on each element $O(n)$ until a match occurs or the end is reached.

1) algorithm SequentialSearch(list, item)
2) Pre: list $\neq \emptyset$
3) Post: return index of item if found, otherwise -1
4) $\quad$ inde $x \leftarrow 0$
5) while index $<$ list. Count and list $[$ index $] \neq$ item
6) index $\leftarrow$ index +1
7) end while
8) if index $<$ list. Count and list $[$ index $]=$ item
9) return index
10) end if
11) return - 1
12) end SequentialSearch

### 10.2 Probability Search

Probability search is a statistical sequential searching algorithm. In addition to searching for an item, it takes into account its frequency by swapping it with it's predecessor in the list. The algorithm complexity still remains at $O(n)$ but in a non-uniform items search the more frequent items are in the first positions, reducing list scanning time.

Figure 10.1 shows the resulting state of a list after searching for two items, notice how the searched items have had their search probability increased after each search operation respectively.

| 23 | 7 | 12 | 99 | 101 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

a)

2)

| 23 | 12 | 7 | 99 | 101 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

b)

2)

| 23 | 12 | 7 | 101 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

Figure 10.1: a) $\operatorname{Search}(12)$, b) $\operatorname{Search}(101)$

1) algorithm ProbabilitySearch(list, item)
2) Pre: list $\neq \emptyset$
3) Post: a boolean indicating where the item is found or not; in the former case swap founded item with its predecessor
4) $\quad$ index $\leftarrow 0$
5) while index $<$ list.Count and list $[$ index $] \neq$ item
6) index $\leftarrow$ index +1
7) end while
8) if index $\geq$ list. Count or list $[$ index $] \neq$ item
9) return false
10) end if
11) if index $>0$
12) $\quad$ Swap(list $[$ index $]$, list $[$ index - 1])
13) end if
14) return true
15) end ProbabilitySearch

Chapter 11
Sets

## Chapter 12

## Strings

Strings have their own chapter in this text purely because string operations and transformations are incredibly frequent within programs. The algorithms presented are based on problems the authors have come across previously, or were formulated to satisfy curiosity.

### 12.1 Reversing the order of words in a sentence

Defining algorithms for primitive string operations is simple, e.g. extracting a sub-string of a string, however some algorithms that require more inventiveness can be a little more tricky.

The algorithm presented here does not simply reverse the characters in a string, rather it reverses the order of words within a string. This algorithm works on the principal that words are all delimited by white space, and using a few markers to define where words start and end we can easily reverse them.

```
algorithm ReverseWords(value)
    Pre: value \(\neq \emptyset, s b\) is a string buffer
    Post: the words in value have been reversed
    last \(\leftarrow\) value.Length -1
    start \(\leftarrow\) last
    while last \(\geq 0\)
        // skip whitespace
        while start \(\geq 0\) and value \([\) start \(]=\) whitespace
        start \(\leftarrow\) start -1
        end while
        last \(\leftarrow\) start
        // march down to the index before the beginning of the word
        while start \(\geq 0\) and start \(\neq\) whitespace
            start \(\leftarrow\) start -1
        end while
        // append chars from start +1 to length +1 to string buffer sb
        for \(i \leftarrow\) start +1 to last
            sb.Append(value \([i]\) )
        end for
        // if this isn't the last word in the string add some whitespace after the word in the buffer
        if start \(>0\)
                \(s b . A p p e n d\left({ }^{\prime}\right)\)
        end if
        last \(\leftarrow\) start -1
        start \(\leftarrow\) last
    end while
    // check if we have added one too many whitespace to \(s b\)
    if \(s b[s b\).Length -1\(]=\) whitespace
        // cut the whitespace
        \(s b\).Length \(\leftarrow s b\).Length -1
    end if
    return \(s b\)
end ReverseWords
```


### 12.2 Detecting a palindrome

Although not a frequent algorithm that will be applied in real-life scenarios detecting a palindrome is a fun, and as it turns out pretty trivial algorithm to design.

The algorithm that we present has a $O(n)$ run time complexity. Our algorithm uses two pointers at opposite ends of string we are checking is a palindrome or not. These pointers march in towards each other always checking that each character they point to is the same with respect to value. Figure 12.1 shows the IsPalindrome algorithm in operation on the string "Was it Eliot's toilet I saw?" If you remove all punctuation, and white space from the aforementioned string you will find that it is a valid palindrome.


Figure 12.1: left and right pointers marching in towards one another

1) algorithm IsPalindrome(value)
2) Pre: value $\neq \emptyset$
3) Post: value is determined to be a palindrome or not
4) word $\leftarrow$ value.Strip().ToUpperCase()
5) $\quad$ left $\leftarrow 0$
6) right $\leftarrow$ word.Length -1
7) while word $[l e f t]=$ word $[r i g h t]$ and left $<$ right
8) $\quad l e f t \leftarrow l e f t+1$
9) right $\leftarrow$ right -1
10) end while
11) return $\operatorname{word} d[l e f t]=\operatorname{word}[$ right $]$
12) end IsPalindrome

In the IsPalindrome algorithm we call a method by the name of Strip. This algorithm discards punctuation in the string, including white space. As a result word contains a heavily compacted representation of the original string, each character of which is in its uppercase representation.

Palindromes discard white space, punctuation, and case making these changes allows us to design a simple algorithm while making our algorithm fairly robust with respect to the palindromes it will detect.

### 12.3 Counting the number of words in a string

Counting the number of words in a string can seem pretty trivial at first, however there are a few cases that we need to be aware of:

1. tracking when we are in a string
2. updating the word count at the correct place
3. skipping white space that delimits the words

As an example consider the string "Ben ate hay" Clearly this string contains three words, each of which distinguished via white space. All of the previously listed points can be managed by using three variables:

1. index
2. wordCount
3. inWord

| B | e | n |  | a | t | e |  | h | a | y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

Figure 12.2: String with three words


Figure 12.3: String with varying number of white space delimiting the words

Of the previously listed index keeps track of the current index we are at in the string, wordCount is an integer that keeps track of the number of words we have encountered, and finally inWord is a Boolean flag that denotes whether or not at the present time we are within a word. If we are not currently hitting white space we are in a word, the opposite is true if at the present index we are hitting white space.

What denotes a word? In our algorithm each word is separated by one or more occurrences of white space. We don't take into account any particular splitting symbols you may use, e.g. in .NET String.Split ${ }^{1}$ can take a char (or array of characters) that determines a delimiter to use to split the characters within the string into chunks of strings, resulting in an array of sub-strings.

In Figure 12.2 we present a string indexed as an array. Typically the pattern is the same for most words, delimited by a single occurrence of white space. Figure 12.3 shows the same string, with the same number of words but with varying white space splitting them.

[^4]```
algorithm WordCount(value)
    Pre: value \(\neq \emptyset\)
3) Post: the number of words contained within value is determined
4) \(\quad\) inWord \(\leftarrow\) true
5) wordCount \(\leftarrow 0\)
6) \(\quad\) index \(\leftarrow 0\)
7) // skip initial white space
8) while value \([\) index \(]=\) whitespace and index \(<\) value.Length -1
9) \(\quad\) index \(\leftarrow\) index +1
10) end while
11) // was the string just whitespace?
12) if index \(=\) value. Length and value \([\) index \(]=\) whitespace
13) return 0
14) end if
15)
16)
17)
18)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
2) index \(\leftarrow\) index +1
27) end while
28) // last word may have not been followed by whitespace
29) if inWord
        wordCount \(\leftarrow\) wordCount +1
    end if
    return wordCount
end WordCount
```


### 12.4 Determining the number of repeated words within a string

With the help of an unordered set, and an algorithm that can split the words within a string using a specified delimiter this algorithm is straightforward to implement. If we split all the words using a single occurrence of white space as our delimiter we get all the words within the string back as elements of an array. Then if we iterate through these words adding them to a set which contains only unique strings we can attain the number of unique words from the string. All that is left to do is subtract the unique word count from the total number of stings contained in the array returned from the split operation. The split operation that we refer to is the same as that mentioned in $\S 12.3$.


Figure 12.4: a) Undesired uniques set; b) desired uniques set

1) algorithm RepeatedWordCount(value)
2) Pre: value $\neq \emptyset$
3) Post: the number of repeated words in value is returned
4) words $\leftarrow$ value.Split(' ')
5) uniques $\leftarrow$ Set
6) foreach word in words
7) uniques.Add(word.Strip())
8) end foreach
9) return words.Length -uniques.Count
10) end RepeatedWordCount

You will notice in the RepeatedWordCount algorithm that we use the Strip method we referred to earlier in $\S 12.1$. This simply removes any punctuation from a word. The reason we perform this operation on each word is so that we can build a more accurate unique string collection, e.g. "test", and "test!" are the same word minus the punctuation. Figure 12.4 shows the undesired and desired sets for the unique set respectively.

### 12.5 Determining the first matching character between two strings

The algorithm to determine whether any character of a string matches any of the characters in another string is pretty trivial. Put simply, we can parse the strings considered using a double loop and check, discarding punctuation, the equality between any characters thus returning a non-negative index that represents the location of the first character in the match (Figure 12.5); otherwise we return -1 if no match occurs. This approach exhibit a run time complexity of $O\left(n^{2}\right)$.


Figure 12.5: a) First Step; b) Second Step c) Match Occurred

1) algorithm $\operatorname{Any}$ (word,match)
2) Pre: word, match $\neq \emptyset$
3) Post: index representing match location if occured, -1 otherwise
4) for $i \leftarrow 0$ to word.Length -1
5) while $\operatorname{word}[i]=$ whitespace
6) $\quad i \leftarrow i+1$
7) end while
8) for index $\leftarrow 0$ to match.Length - 1
9) while match $[$ index $]=$ whitespace
inde $x \leftarrow$ inde $x+1$
end while
if $\operatorname{match}[$ index $]=$ word $[i]$
return index
end if
end for
end for
return -1
10) end Any

## Appendix A

## Algorithm Walkthrough

Learning how to design good algorithms can be assisted greatly by using a structured approach to tracing its behaviour. In most cases tracing an algorithm only requires a single table. In most cases tracing is not enough, you will also want to use a diagram of the data structure your algorithm operates on. This diagram will be used to visualise the problem more effectively. Seeing things visually can help you understand the problem quicker, and better.

The trace table will store information about the variables used in your algorithm. The values within this table are constantly updated when the algorithm mutates them. Such an approach allows you to attain a history of the various values each variable has held. You may also be able to infer patterns from the values each variable has contained so that you can make your algorithm more efficient.

We have found this approach both simple, and powerful. By combining a visual representation of the problem as well as having a history of past values generated by the algorithm it can make understanding, and solving problems much easier.

In this chapter we will show you how to work through both iterative, and recursive algorithms using the technique outlined.

## A. 1 Iterative algorithms

We will trace the IsPalindrome algorithm (defined in $\S 12.2$ ) as our example iterative walkthrough. Before we even look at the variables the algorithm uses, first we will look at the actual data structure the algorithm operates on. It should be pretty obvious that we are operating on a string, but how is this represented? A string is essentially a block of contiguous memory that consists of some char data types, one after the other. Each character in the string can be accessed via an index much like you would do when accessing items within an array. The picture should be presenting itself - a string can be thought of as an array of characters.

For our example we will use IsPalindrome to operate on the string "Never odd or even" Now we know how the string data structure is represented, and the value of the string we will operate on let's go ahead and draw it as shown in Figure A.1.

| N | e | v | e | r |  | o | d | d |  | o | r |  | e | v | e | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |

Figure A.1: Visualising the data structure we are operating on

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \text { value } & \text { word } & \text { left } & \text { right } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Table A.1: A column for each variable we wish to track

The IsPalindrome algorithm uses the following list of variables in some form throughout its execution:

1. value
2. word
3. left
4. right

Having identified the values of the variables we need to keep track of we simply create a column for each in a table as shown in Table A.1.

Now, using the IsPalindrome algorithm execute each statement updating the variable values in the table appropriately. Table A. 2 shows the final table values for each variable used in IsPalindrome respectively.

While this approach may look a little bloated in print, on paper it is much more compact. Where we have the strings in the table you should annotate these strings with array indexes to aid the algorithm walkthrough.

There is one other point that we should clarify at this time - whether to include variables that change only a few times, or not at all in the trace table. In Table A. 2 we have included both the value, and word variables because it was convenient to do so. You may find that you want to promote these values to a larger diagram (like that in Figure A.1) and only use the trace table for variables whose values change during the algorithm. We recommend that you promote the core data structure being operated on to a larger diagram outside of the table so that you can interrogate it more easily.

| value | word | left | right |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| "Never odd or even" | "NEVERODDOREVEN" | 0 | 13 |
|  |  | 1 | 12 |
|  |  | 2 | 11 |
|  |  | 3 | 10 |
|  |  | 4 | 9 |
|  |  | 5 | 8 |
|  |  | 6 | 7 |
|  |  | 7 | 6 |

Table A.2: Algorithm trace for IsPalindrome

We cannot stress enough how important such traces are when designing your algorithm. You can use these trace tables to verify algorithm correctness. At the cost of a simple table, and quick sketch of the data structure you are operating on you can devise correct algorithms quicker. Visualising the problem domain and keeping track of changing data makes problems a lot easier to solve. Moreover you always have a point of reference which you can look back on.

## A. 2 Recursive Algorithms

For the most part working through recursive algorithms is as simple as walking through an iterative algorithm. One of the things that we need to keep track of though is which method call returns to who. Most recursive algorithms are much simple to follow when you draw out the recursive calls rather than using a table based approach. In this section we will use a recursive implementation of an algorithm that computes a number from the Fiboncacci sequence.

1) algorithm Fibonacci ( $n$ )
2) Pre: $n$ is the number in the fibonacci sequence to compute
3) Post: the fibonacci sequence number $n$ has been computed
4) if $n<1$
5) return 0
6) else if $n<2$
7) return 1
8) end if
9) return $\operatorname{Fibonacci}(n-1)+\operatorname{Fibonacci}(n-2)$
10) end Fibonacci

Before we jump into showing you a diagrammtic representation of the algorithm calls for the Fibonacci algorithm we will briefly talk about the cases of the algorithm. The algorithm has three cases in total:

1. $n<1$
2. $n<2$
3. $n \geq 2$

The first two items in the preceeding list are the base cases of the algorithm. Until we hit one of our base cases in our recursive method call tree we won't return anything. The third item from the list is our recursive case.

With each call to the recursive case we etch ever closer to one of our base cases. Figure A. 2 shows a diagrammtic representation of the recursive call chain. In Figure A. 2 the order in which the methods are called are labelled. Figure A. 3 shows the call chain annotated with the return values of each method call as well as the order in which methods return to their callers. In Figure A. 3 the return values are represented as annotations to the red arrows.

It is important to note that each recursive call only ever returns to its caller upon hitting one of the two base cases. When you do eventually hit a base case that branch of recursive calls ceases. Upon hitting a base case you go back to


Figure A.2: Call chain for Fibonacci algorithm


Figure A.3: Return chain for Fibonacci algorithm
the caller and continue execution of that method. Execution in the caller is contiued at the next statement, or expression after the recursive call was made.

In the Fibonacci algorithms' recursive case we make two recursive calls. When the first recursive call (Fibonacci $(n-1)$ ) returns to the caller we then execute the the second recursive call (Fibonacci $(n-2)$ ). After both recursive calls have returned to their caller, the caller can then subesequently return to its caller and so on.

Recursive algorithms are much easier to demonstrate diagrammatically as Figure A. 2 demonstrates. When you come across a recursive algorithm draw method call diagrams to understand how the algorithm works at a high level.

## A. 3 Summary

Understanding algorithms can be hard at times, particularly from an implementation perspective. In order to understand an algorithm try and work through it using trace tables. In cases where the algorithm is also recursive sketch the recursive calls out so you can visualise the call/return chain.

In the vast majority of cases implementing an algorithm is simple provided that you know how the algorithm works. Mastering how an algorithm works from a high level is key for devising a well designed solution to the problem in hand.

## Appendix B

## Translation Walkthrough

The conversion from pseudo to an actual imperative language is usually very straight forward, to clarify an example is provided. In this example we will convert the algorithm in $\S 9.1$ to the C\# language.

1) public static bool IsPrime(int number)
2) $\{$
3) if (number $<2$ )
4) \{
5) return false;
6) \}
7) int innerLoopBound $=($ int $)$ Math.Floor(Math.Sqrt(number));
8) for (int $\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<$ number; $\mathrm{i}++$ )
9) \{
10) for(int $\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=$ innerLoopBound; $\mathrm{j}++$ )
11) \{
12) 
13) 
14) return false;
15) $\}$
16) $\}$
17) \}
18) return true;
19) \}

For the most part the conversion is a straight forward process, however you may have to inject various calls to other utility algorithms to ascertain the correct result.

A consideration to take note of is that many algorithms have fairly strict preconditions, of which there may be several - in these scenarios you will need to inject the correct code to handle such situations to preserve the correctness of the algorithm. Most of the preconditions can be suitably handled by throwing the correct exception.

## B. 1 Summary

As you can see from the example used in this chapter we have tried to make the translation of our pseudo code algorithms to mainstream imperative languages as simple as possible.

Whenever you encounter a keyword within our pseudo code examples that you are unfamiliar with just browse to Appendix D which descirbes each keyword.

## Appendix C

## Recursive Vs. Iterative Solutions

One of the most succinct properties of modern programming languages like C++, C\#, and Java (as well as many others) is that these languages allow you to define methods that reference themselves, such methods are said to be recursive. One of the biggest advantages recursive methods bring to the table is that they usually result in more readable, and compact solutions to problems.

A recursive method then is one that is defined in terms of itself. Generally a recursive algorithms has two main properties:

1. One or more base cases; and
2. A recursive case

For now we will briefly cover these two aspects of recursive algorithms. With each recursive call we should be making progress to our base case otherwise we are going to run into trouble. The trouble we speak of manifests itself typically as a stack overflow, we will describe why later.

Now that we have briefly described what a recursive algorithm is and why you might want to use such an approach for your algorithms we will now talk about iterative solutions. An iterative solution uses no recursion whatsoever. An iterative solution relies only on the use of loops (e.g. for, while, do-while, etc). The down side to iterative algorithms is that they tend not to be as clear as to their recursive counterparts with respect to their operation. The major advantage of iterative solutions is speed. Most production software you will find uses little or no recursive algorithms whatsoever. The latter property can sometimes be a companies prerequisite to checking in code, e.g. upon checking in a static analysis tool may verify that the code the developer is checking in contains no recursive algorithms. Normally it is systems level code that has this zero tolerance policy for recursive algorithms.

Using recursion should always be reserved for fast algorithms, you should avoid it for the following algorithm run time deficiencies:

1. $O\left(n^{2}\right)$
2. $O\left(n^{3}\right)$

## 3. $O\left(2^{n}\right)$

If you use recursion for algorithms with any of the above run time efficiency's you are inviting trouble. The growth rate of these algorithms is high and in most cases such algorithms will lean very heavily on techniques like divide and conquer. While constantly splitting problems into smaller problems is good practice, in these cases you are going to be spawning a lot of method calls. All this overhead (method calls don't come that cheap) will soon pile up and either cause your algorithm to run a lot slower than expected, or worse, you will run out of stack space. When you exceed the allotted stack space for a thread the process will be shutdown by the operating system. This is the case irrespective of the platform you use, e.g. .NET, or native C++ etc. You can ask for a bigger stack size, but you typically only want to do this if you have a very good reason to do so.

## C. 1 Activation Records

An activation record is created every time you invoke a method. Put simply an activation record is something that is put on the stack to support method invocation. Activation records take a small amount of time to create, and are pretty lightweight.

Normally an activation record for a method call is as follows (this is very general):

- The actual parameters of the method are pushed onto the stack
- The return address is pushed onto the stack
- The top-of-stack index is incremented by the total amount of memory required by the local variables within the method
- A jump is made to the method

In many recursive algorithms operating on large data structures, or algorithms that are inefficient you will run out of stack space quickly. Consider an algorithm that when invoked given a specific value it creates many recursive calls. In such a case a big chunk of the stack will be consumed. We will have to wait until the activation records start to be unwound after the nested methods in the call chain exit and return to their respective caller. When a method exits it's activation record is unwound. Unwinding an activation record results in several steps:

1. The top-of-stack index is decremented by the total amount of memory consumed by the method
2. The return address is popped off the stack
3. The top-of-stack index is decremented by the total amount of memory consumed by the actual parameters

While activation records are an efficient way to support method calls they can build up very quickly. Recursive algorithms can exhaust the stack size allocated to the thread fairly fast given the chance.

Just about now we should be dusting the cobwebs off the age old example of an iterative vs. recursive solution in the form of the Fibonacci algorithm. This is a famous example as it highlights both the beauty and pitfalls of a recursive algorithm. The iterative solution is not as pretty, nor self documenting but it does the job a lot quicker. If we were to give the Fibonacci algorithm an input of say 60 then we would have to wait a while to get the value back because it has an $O\left(g^{n}\right)$ run time. The iterative version on the other hand has a $O(n)$ run time. Don't let this put you off recursion. This example is mainly used to shock programmers into thinking about the ramifications of recursion rather than warning them off.

## C. 2 Some problems are recursive in nature

Something that you may come across is that some data structures and algorithms are actually recursive in nature. A perfect example of this is a tree data structure. A common tree node usually contains a value, along with two pointers to two other nodes of the same node type. As you can see tree is recursive in its makeup wit each node possibly pointing to two other nodes.

When using recursive algorithms on tree's it makes sense as you are simply adhering to the inherent design of the data structure you are operating on. Of course it is not all good news, after all we are still bound by the limitations we have mentioned previously in this chapter.

We can also look at sorting algorithms like merge sort, and quick sort. Both of these algorithms are recursive in their design and so it makes sense to model them recursively.

## C. 3 Summary

Recursion is a powerful tool, and one that all programmers should know of. Often software projects will take a trade between readability, and efficiency in which case recursion is great provided you don't go and use it to implement an algorithm with a quadratic run time or higher. Of course this is not a rule of thumb, this is just us throwing caution to the wind. Defensive coding will always prevail.

Many times recursion has a natural home in recursive data structures and algorithms which are recursive in nature. Using recursion in such scenarios is perfectly acceptable. Using recursion for something like linked list traversal is a little overkill. Its iterative counterpart is probably less lines of code than its recursive counterpart.

Because we can only talk about the implications of using recursion from an abstract point of view you should consult your compiler and run time environment for more details. It may be the case that your compiler recognises things like tail recursion and can optimise them. This isn't unheard of, in fact most commercial compilers will do this. The amount of optimisation compilers can
do though is somewhat limited by the fact that you are still using recursion. You, as the developer have to accept certain accountability's for performance.

## Appendix D

## Symbol Definitions

Throughout the pseudocode listings you will find several symbols used, describes the meaning of each of those symbols.

| Symbol | Description |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\leftarrow$ | Assignment. |
| $=$ | Equality. |
| $\leq$ | Less than or equal to. |
| $<$ | Less than.* |
| $\geq$ | Greater than or equal to. |
| $>$ | Greater than.* |
| $\neq$ | Inequality. |
| $\emptyset$ | Null. |
| and | Logical and. |
| or | Logical or. |
| whitespace | Single occurrence of whitespace. |
| yield | Like return but builds a sequence. |

Table D.1: Pseudo symbol definitions

* This symbol has a direct translation with the vast majority of imperative counterparts.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ All readers are encouraged to provide suggestions, feature requests, and bugs so we can further improve our implementations.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ http://www.microsoft.com/NET/

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/system.linq.enumerable_members.aspx

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ This operation is sometimes referred to as Peek

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/system.string.split.aspx

